

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

aw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/846,103                                 | 04/30/2001  | Dmitry O. Gryaznov   | 002.0160.01 5029        |                  |  |
| 7590 05/26/2005                            |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| ZILKA-KOTAB, PC                            |             |                      | COLIN, CARL G           |                  |  |
| P.O. BOX 721120<br>SAN JOSE, CA 75172-1120 |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 2136                    |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/846,103      | GRYAZNOV ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |
| Carl Colin      | 2136            |  |

|   | Carr Collin  | 2130  |                                       |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence add                                    | ress                                  |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A  | ALLOWANCE.  |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or<br/>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo<br/>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br/>(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete<br/>following time periods:</li> </ol>   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C        | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or          |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d  |  |   |                                       |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that   | in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o  | f the final rejection.                                |                                       |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)   |  |   |                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>tutory period for reply originally set in the                     | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be  | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)  | ), to avoid dismissal o                               | of the appeal.                        |
| AMENDMENTS  |  |   |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in hot</li> </ol>  | nsideration and/or search (see NC<br>w);   | TE below);  |                                       |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  |  |   | the issues for                        |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |  | ejected claims.                                       |                                       |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |  | ompliant Amendment                                    | (PTOL-324).                           |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s   |  | •   | ,                                     |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |  | , timely filed amendm                                 | ent canceling                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |  | vill be entered and an                                | explanation of                        |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-44</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |                                       |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |   |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fa                                | ils to provide a                      |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>  | n of the status of the claims after  | entry is below or attac                               | ched.                                 |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | t does NOT place the application   | in condition for allowa                               | ince because:                         |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper  | No(s)   |                                       |
|   |  |   |                                       |
|   |  |   |                                       |



Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has not overcome the 112 rejection by simply deleting "traversing the hierarchical parse tree to retrieve each suspect string" (See final office action, paragraph 4). The proposed amendments still raise the issue of new matter. Applicant has included some of the cancelled claims into the independent claims changing the scope of the invention and also made other modifications such as "comparing the suspect string" now recites "comparing each suspect string". For at least the reasons cited above and in the office action the proposed amendment will not be entered and does not place the application in condition for allowance.

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100